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Social media, such as social networks (e.g. Facebook), blogs and micro blogs (e.g. Twitter), and photo/audio/video sharing services (e.g., Youtube and Flickr), can be understood as Internet-based applications that are built on the ideological and technological foundation of participatory Web, and allow for the creation and exchange of user generated content (Kaplan and Haenlein 2010). These online applications and associated data generated have been experiencing a spectacular rise and popularity. Over short periods, hundreds of millions of users have been attracted to these services and generating massive quantities of social media data with unprecedented spatiotemporal scales and extents.

Twitter, for example, has rapidly gained popularity. Although each tweet is limited to only 140 characters, the aggregate of millions of Tweets may capture dynamic patterns of various topics of interest at the scale of large populations. This new data modality has become increasingly important to the development of human knowledge. For example, the Library of Congress has begun archiving Twitter feeds. Extensive studies with significant societal impacts have been conducted by capitalizing on social media data, ranging for example from predicting stock market (Bollen et al. 2011), tracking infectious diseases (Signorini and Segre 2011), to measuring public opinion and political sentiment (O’Connor and Balasubramanyan 2010).

Social media have also been recognized as proxies to understand geography (Leetaru et al. 2013). Intentionally or unintentionally, people are sharing their whereabouts when using social media services. With widespread of location-aware mobile devices and continuing improvements of location-based services, location-based social media data are becoming increasingly available. Such massive, dynamic, geo-referenced data, despite privacy concerns and quality issues such as noises and possible spam, offer an unprecedented opportunity to understand micro-dynamics of complex social systems across multiple spatiotemporal scales. To gain timely insights and desirable knowledge from social media data, however, poses several fundamental challenges.

Firstly, location-based social media data are often ‘big’ and “coming” continuously, considering the case of daily new tweets across the globe and even extending the time window to a number of months or years. The magnitude of this data volume is well beyond the capability of any mainstream geographic information systems (GIS). Especially, data access and analytics may not be achievable within a reasonable amount of time without resorting to advanced cyberinfrastructure strategies.

Secondly, social media data are generated dynamically and continuously. Users of social media services are allowed to frequently update or change their status and locations, and for certain emergency events, volunteers can rapidly contribute their information and experiences. These near-real-time crowdsourcing data, complemented with official and authoritative data sources, become especially valuable in such time-critical cases as disaster response and relief (Goodchild and Glennon 2010). Conventional GIS approaches, however, are limited to support timely analysis of such dynamic and massive social media data. While near-real-time spatial analysis of social media data is desirable particularly for time-critical cases, it is computationally intensive and, thus, requires high-performance computing.

Thirdly, in contrast to well-structured geospatial data sources, social media data are often produced in unstructured forms. Extra efforts, such as applying data mining techniques, are often necessary to make such data meaningful and sensible. In addition, social media services usually do not provide direct access to all the data being produced, which causes data access to be a nontrivial
problem. Researchers have to come up with their own ad hoc mechanisms to obtain data of particular interests, typically via designated access interfaces provided by social media services. Issues of uncertainty and noises further compound this data access problem, which hinders applications of these data sources to broad use.

CyberGIS, a new modality of GIS based on advanced cyberinfrastructure, is established through the synthesis of advanced cyberinfrastructure, GIS, and spatial analysis and modeling capabilities (Wang 2010). Early research and development of cyberGIS have demonstrated its great potential to address significant challenges of geographic information science and various geo and spatial fields (Wright and Wang 2011). The ongoing National Science Foundation cyberGIS initiative (www.cybergis.org) has made steady progress on advancing the science and applications of cyberGIS, particularly for enabling the analysis of big spatial data, computationally intensive spatial analysis and modeling, and collaborative geospatial problem solving and decision making (Wang et al. 2013a).

With an open framework and a concrete implementation, this paper suggests a cyberGIS environment for efficient collection, management, access, analysis and visualization of location-based social media data, Twitter feeds in particular, with a focus placed on addressing the aforementioned challenges (Wang et al. 2013b). By seamlessly integrating a system of collecting and managing location-based Twitter data, a suite of near-real-time spatial analytical services, and an advanced cyberinfrastructure environment with high-performance computational resources, this user-centric cyberGIS environment provides a near-real-time means to explore spatiotemporal patterns hidden in massive Twitter data.
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